→ RISC-V Code Size Reduction with Zc and beyond

RISC-V Summit Barcelona

June 2023 Tariq Kurd





Finally - Zc is ratified!

Thanks to all contributors!



\rightarrow Zc extensions (grey - existing, purple - new)



\rightarrow The Zc extensions

- Zca C extension excluding c.f* (all fp load/store)]
- Zcf c.flw*, c.fsw*
 - C = Zca+Zcf if F is implemented
 - Zcf encodings could be repurposed in the future
- Zcd c.fld*, c.fsd*
 - C = Zca+Zcf+Zcd if D is implemented (implies F)
- Zcmp/Zcmt already repurpose some of the Zcd encodings
 - They reuse some of the code points from c.fsdsp
- Zcb only allocates reserved encodings

Free up encoding space



\rightarrow The Zcb extension



- 11 (RV32)/12(RV64) 16-bit encodings
 - Map onto existing functionality
- Included in RVA23
 - should be used wherever C is included
 - requires Zbb, M (or Zmmul) to get the full set

Simple enough for all architectures

• Typically saves 0.5-2% of code-size and only costs (up to) 12 lines in your decoder



\rightarrow Zcb instruction summary

16-bit mnemonic	32-bit target encoding	16-bit mnemonic	32-bit target encoding	16-bit mnemonic	32-bit target encoding
c.lbu	lbu	c.zext.b	andi, Oxff	c.zext.w	add.uw, zero (Zbb)
c.lhu	lhu	c.sext.b	sext.b (Zbb)	c.sext.h	sext.h (Zbb)
c.lh	lh	c.zext.h	zext.h (Zbb)	c.not	xori, -1
c.sb	sb	c.sh	sh	c.mul	mul (M/Zmmul)

\rightarrow The Zcmp extension

- Targets function call prologues and epilogues
- Push/Pop functionality
 - Gives the expansion from 16-bit encodings to a series of existing 32bit encodings
- Moving two argument registers at once
 - to/from a0,a1 and saved registers
- All reuse encoding space from **c.fsdsp**

• Saves 6.5% on average, but can be *much* higher

Massive size saving for code with many function calls



\rightarrow The Zcmt extension



- Replace 32/64-bit sequences used for static function calls
- Huge benefits for large executables
 - In the v8 javascript engine: using only one table jump entry to replace every 64-bit call to one debug symbol called by every assertion saved ~400KB!
- 64-bit calls are required when the function is more than ±1MB away
- Also reuses encoding space from **c.fsdsp**

• Saves 4% on average, but many benchmarks save over 5%

\rightarrow Room for future 16-bit encodings

- 16 bit load/store instructions use 1024 code-points each
 - Zcd and Zcf have 4096 code points each
- New instructions use minimal code-points
 - Zcmt uses 256
 - Zcmp uses
 - 64 cm.push
 - 192 cm.pop*
 - 128 cm.mv*
- Zcd encoding space is currently 15.6% allocated
- Zcf encoding space is currently 0% allocated

→ Zcd/Zcf encoding space is only 7.8% allocated



→ Instruction table for custom extension

Based on well-known dictionary compression techniques

Benchmarking results show 6%-7% reduction in code-size

Very similar to Zcmt - but with table of common 32-bit instructions Memory table of 32-bit instructions indexed with a 16-bit encoding → Will be available in Codasip cores later this year



Summary

- Zc saves about 12.5% on average
 - The actual saving varies wildly with the application
- Instruction table gets this to maybe 18%
 - Good enough to be competitive with existing commercial architectures
- Space available for more compressed encodings
- With RISC-V cores being so popular these codesize savings are really important
 - lower power, smaller flash sizes, smaller chip-area
 - higher performance due to better cache utilisation etc.

→ Useful links

- Zc benchmarking
 - <u>https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1bFMyGkuuulBXulaMsjBINoCWoLwObr1I9h</u> <u>5TAWN8s7k/edit#gid=1837831327</u>
- Prebuilt toolchain (go to CORE-V)
 - <u>https://www.embecosm.com/resources/tool-chain-downloads/</u>
- Development status of SAIL, ACT etc:
 - <u>https://github.com/riscv-admin/dev-partners/issues</u>
 - see issues 2, 4, 5, 6
- LLVM development
 - <u>https://github.com/plctlab/llvm-project/tree/riscv-zce-llvm14</u>

